



15th Cedre Information Day Spill response equipment stockpiles

Different types of stockpiles: background and difficulties

Georges Peigné Cedre

715, rue Alain Colas - CS 41836 - 29218 BREST CEDEX 2 - FRANCE

Tel: +33 2 98 33 10 10 - Fax: +33 2 98 44 91 38 contact@cedre.fr

Different types of stockpiles: background and difficulties

- Current situation
 - Different types of stockpiles
 - Background
 - Stockpile complementarity
 - Right-sizing stockpiles
 - Location and vocation
 - Composition and evolution
 - Funding
- Questions for the future:
 - Requirement adequacy
 - Management optimisation
 - Scope enlargement
 - Rules of usage
 - Formation and renewal





Different types of stockpiles

- Public / private
- Local / regional / national / international
- For marine waters / inland waters
- Supply stores (e.g. PAJ; manufacturers) / tool kits





A history shaped by spills

- Recent [in France, post Torrey Canyon (1967) and more significantly post Amoco Cadiz (1978); in the UK, BP stockpile since 1973 which became OSRL stockpile in 1985]
- Marked by a number of major events [Torrey Canyon, Amoco Cadiz, Exxon Valdez, Erika, Prestige,...] and the repetition of minor events
- For spills from fixed installations or related to shipping
- Different needs and obligations between "polluters" and "polluted", evolution of these needs and obligations [Exxon Valdez shift: from obligation to need + reinforcement of obligations (OPA 90; oil field operation conditioned by the existence of appropriate solutions cf. Hiwax-)]
- Emergence of specialised response companies and organisations
 [assistance to ships in distress (towing and salvage companies), intervention
 on wrecks, shoreline clean-up,...] and in parallel, gradual disappearance of
 internal capacities within oil companies, and even public services



A history shaped by technical development and new means

- and by the evolution of the role of the different stakeholders in their development:
 - manufacturers (stimulated by large markets or supported)
 - service providers or "not-for-profit" private organisations
 - public authorities, users or otherwise (promotion of research, innovation, industrial development)
 - site managers (ports,...)
 - oil companies (from BP to OSRL)
- and also by the evolution in deployment logistics (e.g. OSRVs; Canadair, Super-Frelon,...)





The concept of tiered response and stock complementarity (1/2)

- national stockpiles obtained by addition of local stockpiles (in particular belonging to ports): rare (Italy?); more often national stockpiles called upon for small-scale local response (hence absence of local stocks where national stockpile exists)
- international stockpiles obtained by addition of national stockpiles (e.g. CIS, regional agreements) approach favoured by the consideration of transboundary pollution risks
- encouragement by OPRC 90 of government-industry cooperation for consultancy and response means





The concept of tiered response and stock complementarity (2/2)

- worldwide cover by Tier 3 Centres [AMOSC, CCA, OSR, FOST] as a complement to national and local means, or to reduce the need for national stockpiles
- extra layer added by a supranational entity (e.g. EMSA and its chartered vessels) or a private stockpile (e.g. OSR and aerial dispersant spreading) or through international private stockpile networks (GRN) or agreements (e.g. OSR-AMOSC)
- but little marine waters/inland waters complementarity
- recurring questions on equipment compatibility, or even standardisation of certain elements (especially boom sections)





Right-sizing and evolution of stockpiles

- originally very ambitious (near to worst case scenario) and often an optimistic vision of expected performance of stocked means [in France, after the Amoco Cadiz: objective 30,000 t oil -> 30 km boom and 30 skimmers]
- questioning through exercises and more importantly real spills
- movement towards optimised quantities, according to:
 - the risk
 - the deployment means (human and material)
 - the maintenance capacities

taking advantage of:

- the complementarity of stockpiles and the principle of tiered response
- but risk of conflict if multiple requirements (e.g. from different areas during Erika spill) ... and favoured inquirers (e.g. stockpile manager and user)





Stockpile location and vocation

- near potential spill locations (e.g. PAJ stockpiles near straits on shipping routes to Japan)
- near deployment logistics (in France: military ports, beacon stations)
- near rapid transport (e.g. OSR; FOST 1)
 - but beware of access constraints due to location
- packing for aerial transport and choice of equipment suitable for this transport (counter example: EGMOPOL barges for Exxon Valdez)
- stockpiles intended, or reserved, for (co)financers of equipment in stockpiles; access sometimes possible for third parties but not guaranteed if no prior agreement (and financial support)





Stockpile composition and evolution (1/3)

- adaptation to most probable risks and/or to response to last major spill (e.g. focus on very viscous fuel oils after the Erika and Prestige, including use of trawl nets)
- specific spill response equipment, not easily mobilised elsewhere
- first line emergency equipment (until means from suppliers or other stockpiles arrive)
- preventatively acquired equipment or equipment acquired for a particular spill
- "reliable, easy-to-use equipment rather than cutting edge technical solutions" (USCG)
- previously assessed, or even certified, equipment and products





Stockpile composition and evolution (2/3)

- Lightering vessels in difficulty: important place in the history of Polmar Land stockpiles (including response on chemical tankers), infrequently (never?) used on accidents
- Response to wrecks: mainly from service providers
- Dispersants:
 - Spraying equipment by boat, helicopter, plane
 - Products (quality control; cost of disposal; sharing protocols to reduce volumes stored)
- Containment recovery:
 - Equipment compliant with available or accessible deployment logistics (*e.g. French Navy OSRVs*), or even available via these logistics (*e.g. vessel chartered by EMSA*)
 - large quantities of boom in stockpiles to protect sensitive sites: Polmar Land stockpiles: 20 km in 1980, 35 km in 1988 (*compliant with actual requirements and deployment capacity?*)
- Storage of recovered products:
 - Floating (flexible tanks) or on land
 - In addition to non-specialised means





Stockpile composition and evolution (3/3)

- Sorbents:
 - Limited stockpiles, possible recourse to suppliers for rapid supply
- Shoreline clean-up: in national stockpiles
 - Mechanical: sand screeners (?) and pressure washers (limited numbers)
 - Manual: basic stock until new supplies arrive
- Cleaning birds:
 - FOST, OSR in connection with Sea Alarm
- Communications means
 - only for initial emergency





Stockpile funding

- Government investment encouraged by spills (experienced or followed)
- Imposed upon operators of high risk sites
- focus on use of OSRO or service provider stockpiles





Questions for the future

- specific to certain types of stockpiles, but whose answers can have a wider effect (stockpile complementarity)
- or more universal





- insufficient or excessive quantities in stock in relation to potential requirements encouragement to maintain the quantity and condition of these stocks?
- means suited to actual requirements? [risk analysis; real feedback from mobilisations and deployment of equipment from stockpiles for real spills, especially in relation to use of non-specialised means or observed efficiency (e.g. problem of protecting exposed sensitive zones); adequacy of contingency plans]
- consideration of the evolution of requirements:
 - evolution of pollution risk [type (HNS spills?), location (arctic zones)] and public expectations
 - evolution of human capacities (specialised, trained personnel) and deployment and support logistics (e.g. aerial guidance of response operations at sea)?
- optimised distribution and location of stockpiles? (near deployment or dispatch locations?)



contact@codre.fr

Optimal stockpile management?

(stock managers and users, assessors, trainers...)

- encourage management by service providers or OSRO?
 To promote the maintenance of specialised, trained teams and the use and therefore renewal of equipment by widening the panel of potential users?
- but conserve ownership or at least a say in the choice of equipment stocks?





More universal stockpiles?

- public / private
- marine and fresh water spills
- at sea and on land response
- oil and chemicals... and litter



Relax or tighten stockpile rules of usage?

- avoid overly diminishing stocks or promote the use and renewal of equipment? (e.g. Exxon Valdez: Egmopol/pressure washers; Lebanon)
- promote decentralisation of decision-making on the use of stockpiles?
- allow, or even promote, the use of certain means for requirements other than spill response? By users other than those for whom the stockpiles were originally intended? (e.g. service providers / national stockpile; non-members / associative stockpile)



Regulating the formation and renewal of stockpiles

- conserve equipment acquired for specific spills? (Amoco Cadiz / Exxon Valdez)
- conserve equipment never used outside of exercises and which experience shows reluctance to use? (e.g. floating flexible tanks)
- when to remove old equipment (problems of maintenance, spare parts and therefore reliability in the case of further use)?
- replace with more efficient equipment? (including to encourage technological research and development)
- encourage the dispatch on site of older equipment?
- ensure ongoing funding of stockpiles to guarantee upkeep (equipment maintenance and renewal; staff training)

